

(b)(6)

OSD ATL

From: (b)(6) (b)(6)

Sent: (b)(6) uscg.mil> Monday, February 25, 2013 8:58 AM

To: (b)(6) OSD ATL

Subject: FW: Live Tissue Training

Good morning (b)(6)

I suspect you're well into the throws of NDAA 2013 simulation transition plan drafting. My leadership is getting nervous also. Do you have a timeline on submission of the final draft to ASD(HA)? Any estimate as to when CG might be able to see either the draft or the final response?

V/R,

(b)(6)

"We are Coast Guardsmen. We work as a crew. We serve as a family. This is our way. This is who we are. This is what we do."

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) RADM

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 8:04 AM

To: (b)(6)

Subject: FW: Live Tissue Training

Do you have a lead on access to the report?

Mr. (b)(6) got the tasker as well.

v/r

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) RDML

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 7:56 AM

To: (b)(6)

Cc: (b)(6)

Subject: Live Tissue Training

Above the fold on the Washington Post, an article about LTT. It notes a requirement for DOD to submit a report to Congress this week on their plan to reduce the use of animals and rely on simulators. I know when I asked about a month ago there had been little movement by DOD but I am sure there is a report being worked by them. Can we at least get a copy of their draft??

Sent with iCoastGuard mobile device.

(b)(6)

OSD ATL

From: (b)(6) OSD-ATL
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 8:26 AM
To: (b)(6) OSD ATL
Subject: RE: EXSUM on Media reports of DoD ban on the use of animals for medical training and Talking Points
Signed By: (b)(6)
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi (b)(6)

391s don't apply to Reports to Congress. We are ok as it stands. Missing the March 1st deadline by a few days/weeks is not detrimental.

Thanks

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) OSD ATL
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:01 PM
To: (b)(6) OSD-ATL
Subject: RE: EXSUM on Media reports of DoD ban on the use of animals for medical training and Talking Points

(b)(6)

We are still working on the Report to Congress and it will be in saccp within 24 hours.- due 1 March. Please help me to get ahead of the game as far as letting folks know it is on its way. Should I prepare a 391 now or wait until it is actually late?

Thanks,

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) OSD-ATL
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2013 3:06 PM
To: (b)(6) OSD ATL
Subject: RE: EXSUM on Media reports of DoD ban on the use of animals for medical training and Talking Points

Hi (b)(6)

You do need to submit strategy(report) but only one time. Not annually through 2017. Does that help a little?

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2013 2:56 PM
To: (b)(6) OSD-ATL
Subject: RE: EXSUM on Media reports of DoD ban on the use of animals for medical training and Talking Points

Maybe we are looking at different language...

Here's what I pulled out. I would be overjoyed if we didn't have to do it!

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) OSD-ATL
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2013 2:47 PM
To: (b)(6) OSD ATL
Subject: RE: EXSUM on Media reports of DoD ban on the use of animals for medical training and Talking Points

Hi (b)(6)

The final version of the language does not require an annual report - it is a one-time strategy. The House version had the annual requirement but during conference it was modified.

Thanks

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) OSD ATL
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2013 2:28 PM

(b)(6)

Subject: EXSUM on Media reports of DoD ban on the use of animals for medical training and Talking Points

All Concerned;

Attached is an exsum outlining the events that have been unfolding since Sunday evening when the OSD PAO office got word of an article in the Fayetteville observer alleging the NDAA Language would result in a ban on the DoD's use of animals in medical training. The allegations are not true, there is no stop date in the legislation. These talking points have been staffed and approved, but any media inquiries should be routed through the OSD PAO office (POC phone number is included in the TPs).

This office will lead on the FY13 NDAA reporting requirement.

Please disseminate this information and feel free to contact me if you have any questions,

V/r

(b)(6)



(b)(6)

OSD ATL

From: (b)(6) CIV USA MEDCOM USAMRMC (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 1:38 PM
To: (b)(6) GS-14 USAF AETC AETC/SGR (b)(6) CDR ONRA,
 34/341
Cc: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Joint LTT roadmap (UNCLASSIFIED)
Signed By: (b)(6)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
 Caveats: NONE

(b)(6)

My charge is to develop a Joint RESEARCH roadmap, which is very different than implementation strategies for LTT. They are definitely linked, but not the same. I have already sent (b)(6) the JPC research roadmap. I have some information from (b)(6) regarding the Army's research plan. I need information from the other service so we have a Joint research roadmap. We have all been working together, but we do not have one document that outlines all of the research efforts. That is what we are trying to develop. (b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) GS-14 USAF AETC AETC/SGR (mailto:(b)(6))
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 1:26 PM
To: (b)(6) CDR
 ONR
Cc: (b)(6)
 MIL USA MEDCOM USAMRMC; (b)(6) USA MEDCOM USAMRMC;
 (b)(6) COL OSD ATL
Subject: RE: Joint LTT roadmap (UNCLASSIFIED)

(b)(6)

Yes (b)(6) is a part of the LAUMET but I don't believe she chairs it. So are we supposed to craft a plan for LUAMET adoption? I guess I'm a bit confused, because I thought they were specifically chartered to see where each of the services are with LTT and develop a DoD way ahead for all?

I cc'd (b)(6) for clarification so we aren't inadvertently all working the same issue. In the past, LTT issues/concerns have come through JTCG-1. I just haven't seen anything since the LAUMET convened.

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) CIV USA MEDCOM USAMRMC
[mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 11:51 AM
To: (b)(6) GS-14 USAF AETC AETC/SGR; (b)(6) CDR
ONR
Cc: (b)(6)
MIL USA MEDCOM USAMRMC; (b)(6) CTR US USA MEDCOM USAMRMC
Subject: RE: Joint LTT roadmap (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

(b)(6)

This is (b)(6) working group. I did not realize she had changed the acronym. So yes, I am coordinating with that group. (b)(6)

~~Original Message~~

From: (b)(6) GS-14 USAF AETC AETC/SGR [mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 10:54 AM
To: (b)(6) CIV USA MEDCOM USAMRMC; (b)(6) CDR
ONR
Cc: (b)(6)
MIL USA MEDCOM USAMRMC; (b)(6) CTR US USA MEDCOM USAMRMC
Subject: RE: Joint LTT roadmap (UNCLASSIFIED)

Mon Feb 11 1300 CST/1400 EST works for me (b)(6). Are we coordinating this roadmap with the LAUMET working group (Live Animal Use for Medical Education and Training)? They've already been tasked by OSD to come up with a DoD LTT plan of implementation. Reps from each service are on the Group and they've been meeting for about 4 months or so.

v/r,

(b)(6)

~~Original Message~~

From: (b)(6) CIV USA MEDCOM USAMRMC
[mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 9:40 AM
To: (b)(6) GS-14 USAF AETC AETC/SGR; (b)(6) CDR
ONR
Cc: (b)(6)
MIL USA MEDCOM USAMRMC; (b)(6) CTR US USA MEDCOM USAMRMC
Subject: Joint LTT roadmap (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

(b)(6)

As you know LTT continues to receive significant political attention. As the JTCG 1 chair, I have been asked to put together a Joint LTT roadmap.

I would like to have a telcon next week with all of you to discuss. You are welcome to include anyone from your staff that you feel is important to participate in the discussion. I can be available during the following times

11 Feb (anytime except 11-12)

13 Feb (anytime before 1500)

14 Feb (anytime before 1530)

Please let me know your availability and I will set-up a conference call.

Thanks (b)(6)



Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

(b)(6)

OSD ATL

From: (b)(6) CIV USAF AF/SGL
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 11:21 AM
To: (b)(6) OSD ATL
Cc: (b)(6) MIL USAF SGE-C
Subject: FW: washington post article from today
Attachments: washington post justify animals.pdf; smime.p7s

Good morning. Don't mean to bug you when I'm sure you're swamped. My boss would like to see the report when it's published (we heard Friday?) if possible? It may well come up at our HASC hearing, which may happen in March, but TBD. This is no surprise. The report was authorizers' way of putting out the PETA fire, in my opinion. Any update you have on the report would be helpful when you get a chance. Thanks so much!

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) Lt Col MIL USAF SGE-C
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 11:10 AM
To: (b)(6) CIV USAF AF/SGL; (b)(6) CIV USAF AF/SGL
Subject: FW: washington post article from today

(b)(6)

FYI. As you can see from the email chain below, all inquiries should be directed to ASD (R&E).

Regards,

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL [mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 8:33 AM
To: (b)(6) Lt Col MIL USAF SGE-C; (b)(6)
 (b)(6)
Subject: Re: washington post article from today

Please advise your folks to direct all inquiries to this office.

(b)(6)

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: (b)(6) MIL USAF SGE-C
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 08:29 AM
To: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL; (b)(6) LTC USARMY (US)

(b)(6)

Subject: FW: washington post article from today

All,

I'm sure many of you are already aware of this, but sending it out just to make sure. I'm sure we will be getting inquiries.

Regards,

(b)(6)

----- Original Message -----

From: (b)(6) CIV USAF AFMSA/SGRJ
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 8:23 AM
To: (b)(6) Lt Col MIL USAF AFMSA/SGE-C; (b)(6) Lt Col MIL USAF SGE-C

Subject: washington post article from today

(b)(6)

OSD ATL

From: (b)(6) OSD PA
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2013 2:54 PM
To: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL
Cc: (b)(6) CTR OSD ATL
Subject: RE: Annual Reports to Congress
Attachments: Sect 736 charts ppt; Sect 736 Report Human-based training methods v4.docx
Signed By: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

These look fine to me for PA purposes. Let me know if you're either posting them online or providing them to anyone but internal audiences or Congress. You know better than anyone how misunderstood this issue can be, so I want to be sure we're prepared to handle if it is widely disseminated.

If you think of it, let me know when it goes to Congress, too, in case it leaks from there.

Thanks!

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2013 11:35 AM
To: (b)(6) OSD PA
Subject: RE: Annual Reports to Congress

(b)(6)

I'm emailing you this for PAO clearance...Hope you can help!
Please keep close hold,

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) OSD PA
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2013 8:26 AM
To: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL
Subject: RE: Annual Reports to Congress

Hi Ma'am,

Checking in to see if there is anything we can provide Ernesto from Washington Post from previous years' annual reports/briefings. If the materials are not FOUO or CLASSIFIED, of course.

Thanks!

VR,

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2013 3:01 PM
To: (b)(6) OSD PA
Subject: RE: Annual Reports to Congress

(b)(6)

I am back, but under the gun for this report...I can talk tomorrow-

Last year it was not a report, but a briefing to the HASC and SASC. The year before, FY 2011, it was a committee report. I'll see if I can send.

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) OSD PA
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2013 2:58 PM
To: (b)(6) COL OSD ATL
Subject: Annual Reports to Congress

(b)(6)

Are you back in the office? I hope you had a nice weekend.

I saw Ernesto from WashPost this morning. He's the reporter who submitted that set of Qs to us last week on LTT.

He's now asking to see last year's Annual Report. Can we release that to him or is it CLASSIFIED? He's also asked me how many years running Congress has required that report.

Thanks for any help you can provide.

VR,

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND TALKING POINTS

2 APRIL 2013

~~TOP SECRET~~ RELEASE OF REPORT TO CONGRESS ON LIVE ANIMAL USE FOR TRAINING.

~~TOP SECRET~~ (ASD/R&E) As required by the FY13 National Defense Authorization Act, the Department of Defense will deliver a report to Congress this week outlining the Secretary of Defense's strategy to transition to use of human-based methods for certain medical training. This report to Congress does not change current DoD medical training practices. The report outlines the DoD's research efforts to facilitate science-based decisions for selecting methods used for training. This research seeks to identify gaps where medical simulation technology is inadequate to target improvement and to develop outcomes to measure skill acquisition. Animal rights activist organizations have been questioning DoD's use of live animals in medical training for several years, to include press releases, letters to DoD senior leadership, and petitioning Congress for legislation to prohibit animal use. Since January 2013, there have been several news stories [Washington Post, Fayetteville Observer, BBC on-line] suggesting that this report to Congress will result in a change to DoD's current combat trauma training curricula. The report does not do that. OSD Public Affairs has drafted talking points to respond to inquiries about this report. They are:

- The "Report to Congress on the Strategy to Transition to Use of Human-Based Methods for Certain Medical Training," does not change current combat trauma training programs.
- The report provides an overview of the research portfolio which will facilitate science-based decisions for selecting methods used for training. A timeline of current research projects is included.
- This research will identify gaps where simulation technology falls short of realism and will provide tools to measure training effectiveness and skills acquisition.
- Although alternative training technologies not based on using live animals have advanced greatly, DoD believes that it is still premature to abandon the use of the animal model entirely because of the potentially negative effects on battlefield combat trauma care.

(b)(6)



~~UNCLASSIFIED~~