



# Selected Acquisition Report (SAR)

RCS: DD-A&T(Q&A)823-327



## **C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-engining Program (C-5 RERP)**

As of FY 2016 President's Budget

Defense Acquisition Management  
Information Retrieval  
(DAMIR)

## Table of Contents

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MDAP Programs .....	3
Program Information .....	5
Responsible Office .....	5
References .....	5
Mission and Description .....	6
Executive Summary .....	7
Threshold Breaches .....	8
Schedule .....	9
Performance .....	11
Track to Budget .....	13
Cost and Funding .....	14
Low Rate Initial Production .....	23
Foreign Military Sales .....	24
Nuclear Costs .....	24
Unit Cost .....	25
Cost Variance .....	28
Contracts .....	31
Deliveries and Expenditures .....	35
Operating and Support Cost .....	36

## Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MDAP Programs

Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance  
ACAT - Acquisition Category  
ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum  
APB - Acquisition Program Baseline  
APPN - Appropriation  
APUC - Average Procurement Unit Cost  
\$B - Billions of Dollars  
BA - Budget Authority/Budget Activity  
Blk - Block  
BY - Base Year  
CAPE - Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation  
CARD - Cost Analysis Requirements Description  
CDD - Capability Development Document  
CLIN - Contract Line Item Number  
CPD - Capability Production Document  
CY - Calendar Year  
DAB - Defense Acquisition Board  
DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive  
DAMIR - Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval  
DoD - Department of Defense  
DSN - Defense Switched Network  
EMD - Engineering and Manufacturing Development  
EVM - Earned Value Management  
FOC - Full Operational Capability  
FMS - Foreign Military Sales  
FRP - Full Rate Production  
FY - Fiscal Year  
FYDP - Future Years Defense Program  
ICE - Independent Cost Estimate  
IOC - Initial Operational Capability  
Inc - Increment  
JROC - Joint Requirements Oversight Council  
\$K - Thousands of Dollars  
KPP - Key Performance Parameter  
LRIP - Low Rate Initial Production  
\$M - Millions of Dollars  
MDA - Milestone Decision Authority  
MDAP - Major Defense Acquisition Program  
MILCON - Military Construction  
N/A - Not Applicable  
O&M - Operations and Maintenance  
ORD - Operational Requirements Document  
OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense  
O&S - Operating and Support  
PAUC - Program Acquisition Unit Cost

PB - President's Budget  
PE - Program Element  
PEO - Program Executive Officer  
PM - Program Manager  
POE - Program Office Estimate  
RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation  
SAR - Selected Acquisition Report  
SCP - Service Cost Position  
TBD - To Be Determined  
TY - Then Year  
UCR - Unit Cost Reporting  
U.S. - United States  
USD(AT&L) - Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics)

## Program Information

**Program Name**

C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-engining Program (C-5 RERP)

**DoD Component**

Air Force

## Responsible Office

Dr. Yvette Weber  
C-5 Division  
Mobility Directorate  
2275 D Street, Bldg 16, Room 127  
Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7222

[yvette.weber@us.af.mil](mailto:yvette.weber@us.af.mil)

**Phone:** 937-656-9475  
**Fax:** 937-656-5020  
**DSN Phone:** 986-9475  
**DSN Fax:** 986-5020  
**Date Assigned:** July 15, 2013

## References

**SAR Baseline (Production Estimate)**

Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated June 24, 2008

**Approved APB**

Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated October 7, 2010

## Mission and Description

The C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-engineing Program (C-5 RERP) is the second phase of a two-phase modernization program for the C-5. The Avionics Modernization Program was Phase I and is the baseline for C-5 RERP. Following completion of Phase II, C-5 RERP, the aircraft is designated a C-5M. C-5 RERP is a comprehensive modernization effort that will improve aircraft reliability, maintainability, and availability. C-5 RERP will enable the C-5M to achieve wartime mission requirements by increasing fleet availability (mission capable rates and departure reliability), reducing total ownership costs, and improving aircraft performance. This effort centers on replacing the current TF39 engine with a more reliable, commercial off-the-shelf General Electric (GE) CF6-80C2 (F138-GE-100 military designation) turbofan engine with increased takeoff thrust, stage-3 noise compliance, and Federal Aviation Regulation pollution compliance. In addition to new engines/pylons, C-5 RERP will provide upgrades to wing attachment fittings; new thrust reversers and auxiliary power units; upgrades to the electrical, hydraulic, fuel, fire suppression, landing gear, and pressurization/air conditioning systems; and airframe structural modifications. These aircraft improvements increase payload capability and access to communication, navigation, surveillance/air traffic management airspace. C-5 RERP also decreases aircraft time to climb, increases engine-out climb gradient for takeoff, improves transportation system throughput, and decreases engine removals.

The procurement tempo to deliver a C-5 RERP aircraft is a three-year process. The first year is advance procurement of material with longer than 12 months duration to buy and deliver, the second year involves material procurement and fabrication, while the third and final year is installation on the aircraft.

## Executive Summary

The delivery of the sixteenth aircraft in December 2013 satisfied the necessary criteria for Air Mobility Command to IOC for the C-5M on February 21, 2014. This was the last C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-engining Program (RERP) APB Milestone.

Lockheed Martin Aeronautics (LMA) delivered seven C-5M aircraft to the Air Force in 2014. The total complement of aircraft for Dover Air Force Base is complete. Travis Air Force Base received its first aircraft May 6, 2014 and five aircraft were assigned as of December 31, 2014. The 7 deliveries in CY 2014 brought the total number of C-5Ms delivered to 23 total aircraft.

Process improvements and efficiencies continue in place. A government on-site representative program was developed at LMA and successfully streamlined legacy repair decisions in near real time. In addition, the government advisory team efforts continue to provide expertise on flight line operations. These efforts along with other LMA improvements provided increased schedule performance. Quality metrics also improved throughout 2014.

The FY 2014 RERP production contract award for Lot 7 material/fabrication, Lot 7 spares and Lot 6 installs, were delayed due to protracted negotiations. The contracts were awarded in May 2014 and June 2014. The FY 2015 RERP production contract for Lot 7 installs is on target for award NLT August 2015.

Two issues affecting the F138 engine were resolved. The F138 engine stage 4 low pressure turbine blade issue closed August 2014 and all engines have been repaired. The hydraulic metering unit quality escape issue affecting 38 line replaceable units was resolved in June 2014.

The DoD Inspector General (IG) released a report entitled "C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-engining Program Hotline Evaluation" in response to DOD IG "Hotline" allegations in November 2014. The report contained allegations which fell into six general findings against the program office and Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA). Four of those findings were substantiated by the IG. A joint program office/DCMA response non-concurred with DoD IG's substantiated findings, but conceded that many of their recommendations would be implemented for the improvement of the C-5 Enterprise. The IG accepted the joint response on the majority of the recommendations, but requested additional information on four areas of disagreement. The program office and DCMA provided this information in December 2014.

A C-5 Safety Investigation Board (SIB) was initiated in response to a Class B mishap during post RERP modification acceptance testing at LMA in Marietta. In November 2014, LMA was conducting an airworthiness flight check and performing hydraulic pressure tests of the landing gear retraction. The gear struck the aircraft causing damage to the gear. The gear was repaired, however during a second flight test in December 2014; the gear again struck the aircraft. The cost of repair for both events brought the total cost to a Class B designation. The aircraft was impounded and the issue is being investigated through established SIB channels.

Between August and December 2014, C-5Ms flew 152 tactical airlift sorties into expeditionary air bases in support of the Afghanistan Retrograde mission, transporting over 25.5 million pounds of cargo. With the improvements gained through the RERP, the C-5M achieved a new world single payload record of 280,900 pounds while supporting this vital mission.

There are no significant software-related issues with this program at this time.

## Threshold Breaches


### APB Breaches

- Schedule
- Performance
- Cost
  - RDT&E
  - Procurement
  - MILCON
  - Acq O&M
- O&S Cost
- Unit Cost
  - PAUC
  - APUC

### Nunn-McCurdy Breaches

- Current UCR Baseline**
  - PAUC None
  - APUC None
- Original UCR Baseline**
  - PAUC None
  - APUC None

# Schedule



Schedule Events				
Events	SAR Baseline Production Estimate	Current APB Production Objective/Threshold		Current Estimate
Program Initiation	Feb 2000	Feb 2000	Feb 2000	Feb 2000
Milestone B	Nov 2001	Nov 2001	Nov 2001	Nov 2001
Contract Award	Dec 2001	Dec 2001	Dec 2001	Dec 2001
Hardware/Software CDR	Apr 2004	Apr 2004	Apr 2004	Apr 2004
First Flight	Jun 2006	Jun 2006	Jun 2006	Jun 2006
Start Combined QT&E/QOT&E	Jun 2006	Jun 2006	Jun 2006	Jun 2006
Milestone C	Mar 2008	Mar 2008	Sep 2008	Mar 2008
Complete Dedicated QOT&E (AFOTEC Report complete)	Apr 2010	Apr 2010	Oct 2010	Mar 2010
FRP For B Models	Dec 2010	Dec 2010	Dec 2011	Oct 2010
IOC	Jun 2013	Jun 2013	Jun 2014	Feb 2014

## Change Explanations

None

**Acronyms and Abbreviations**

AFOTEC - Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center  
CDR - Critical Design Review  
QOT&E - Qualification Operational Test and Evaluation  
QT&E - Qualification Test and Evaluation

## Performance

Performance Characteristics				
SAR Baseline Production Estimate	Current APB Production Objective/Threshold	Demonstrated Performance	Current Estimate	
<b>Time To Climb/Initial Level Off</b>				
837,000 lbs take-off gross weight; RCR 23; climb condition: 77 deg F; SL to 31,000 ft in less than 25 min	837,000 lbs take-off gross weight; RCR 23; climb condition: 77 deg F; SL to 31,000 ft in less than 25 min	769,000 lbs take-off gross weight; RCR 23; climb condition: 77 deg F; SL to 31,000 ft in less than 25 min	837,000 lbs take-off gross weight; RCR 23; climb condition: 77 deg F; SL to 31,000 ft in less than 25 min	Will meet or exceed Current APB Threshold. 769,000 lbs take-off gross weight; RCR 23; climb condition: 77 deg F; SL to 31,000 ft in less than 25 min
<b>Aircraft Take-off Climb Gradient</b>				
One engine out climb gradient $\geq 3.3\%$ beginning at departure end of runway / 837,000 lbs take-off weight / hot day (103 deg F) / 10,000 ft runway / SL / RCR 23	One engine out climb gradient $\geq 3.3\%$ beginning at departure end of runway / 837,000 lbs take-off weight / hot day (103 deg F) / 10,000 ft runway / SL / RCR 23	One engine out climb gradient $\geq 2.5\%$ beginning at departure end of runway / 837,000 lbs take-off weight / hot day (103 deg F) / 10,000 ft runway / SL / RCR 23	One engine out climb gradient $\geq 3.3\%$ beginning at departure end of runway / 837,000 lbs takeoff weight; hot day (103 deg F) / 10,000 ft runway / SL / RCR 23	Will meet or exceed Current APB Threshold. One engine out climb gradient $\geq 2.5\%$ beginning at departure end of runway / 837,000 lbs take-off weight / hot day (103 deg F) / 10,000 ft runway / SL / RCR 23
<b>Mission Capable Rate (MCR)</b>				
Wartime $\geq 82\%$ and Peacetime $\geq 75\%$	Wartime $\geq 82\%$ and Peacetime $\geq 75\%$	Wartime $\geq 75\%$	SDD (81.6%) / QOT&E (66% & 76%) / CONOPS I Surge (78%) & CONOPS II Surge (89%) / AMC / AFTRANS Surge (90%); Wartime $\geq 75\%$ & Peace time $\geq 82\%$	Will meet or exceed Current APB Threshold. Wartime $\geq 75\%$
<b>Noise Compliance</b>				
Certifiable under FAR Part 36 Stage 4 noise standards	Certifiable under FAR Part 36 Stage 4 noise standards	Certifiable under FAR part 36 Stage 3 noise standards	Certifiable under FAR Part 36 Stage 4 noise standards	Will meet or exceed Current APB Threshold. Certifiable under FAR part 36 Stage 3 noise standards
<b>Emission Compliance</b>				
Certifiable under FAR Part 34 emission	Certifiable under FAR Part 34 emission	Certifiable under FAR Part 34 emission	Certifiable under FAR Part 34 emission requirements	Will meet or exceed Current APB Threshold. Certifiable

requirements

requirements

requirements

under FAR Part 34  
emission requirements**Requirements Reference**

Capability Production Document (CPD) Change 1 dated December 1, 2009

**Change Explanations**

None

**Notes**

Demonstrated performance reflects the outcome of Flight Test completed during SDD on August 18, 2008; QOT&E completed on March 8, 2010; and Post-QOT&E Real-World Surge Exercises.

**Acronyms and Abbreviations**

AFTRANS - Air Forces Transportation  
AMC - Air Mobility Command  
CONOPS - Concept of Operations  
deg - degrees  
F - Fahrenheit  
FAR - Federal Aviation Regulation  
ft - feet  
lbs - pounds  
min - minutes  
QOT&E - Qualification Operational Test and Evaluation  
RCR - Runway Condition Reading  
SDD - System Design and Development  
SL - Sea Level

### Track to Budget

**RDT&E**

Appn	BA	PE		
Air Force	3600	07	0401119F	
	<b>Project</b>		<b>Name</b>	
	674835		C-5 Airlift Squadrons/C-5 Reliability Enhancement & Reengining Program (RERP)	(Sunk)

**Procurement**

Appn	BA	PE		
Air Force	3010	07	0401119F	
	<b>Line Item</b>		<b>Name</b>	
	000075		C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Reengining Program (RERP)	(Shared) (Sunk)
Air Force	3010	06	0401119F	
	<b>Line Item</b>		<b>Name</b>	
	000999		C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Reengining Program (RERP)	(Shared) (Sunk)
Air Force	3010	05	0401119F	
	<b>Line Item</b>		<b>Name</b>	
	C00500		C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Reengining Program (RERP)	(Sunk)
	C005M0		C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Reengining Program (RERP)	

**MILCON**

Appn	BA	PE		
Air Force	3300	01	0401896F	
	<b>Project</b>		<b>Name</b>	
	103003		C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Reengining Program (RERP)	(Sunk)
	<b>Notes:</b> Training facility at Dover Air Force Base			

## Cost and Funding

### Cost Summary

Total Acquisition Cost							
Appropriation	BY 2008 \$M			BY 2008 \$M	TY \$M		
	SAR Baseline Production Estimate	Current APB Production Objective/Threshold		Current Estimate	SAR Baseline Production Estimate	Current APB Production Objective	Current Estimate
RDT&E	1722.9	1734.3	1907.7	1691.2	1643.5	1645.0	1601.2
Procurement	5415.9	5396.3	5935.9	5011.7	6042.1	5860.4	5484.1
Flyaway	--	--	--	4160.8	--	--	4560.9
Recurring	--	--	--	4160.8	--	--	4560.9
Non Recurring	--	--	--	0.0	--	--	0.0
Support	--	--	--	850.9	--	--	923.2
Other Support	--	--	--	292.2	--	--	318.0
Initial Spares	--	--	--	558.7	--	--	605.2
MILCON	7.8	5.1	5.6	5.0	8.5	5.3	5.3
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	--	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	7146.6	7135.7	N/A	6707.9	7694.1	7510.7	7090.6

#### Confidence Level

Confidence Level of cost estimate for current APB: 50%

Confidence Level for current Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) cost is 50%. The Independent Cost Estimate (ICE) to support C-5 RERP Full Rate Production decision, like all life-cycle cost estimates previously performed by the Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE), is built upon a product-oriented work breakdown structure, based on historical actual cost information to the maximum extent possible, and, most importantly, based on conservative assumptions that are consistent with actual demonstrated contractor and government performance for a series of acquisition programs in which the Department has been successful.

It is difficult to calculate mathematically the precise confidence levels associated with life-cycle cost estimates prepared for Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAPs) programs. Based on the rigor in methods used in building estimates, the strong adherence to the collection and use of historical cost information, and the review of applied assumptions, we project that it is about equally likely that the estimate will prove too low or too high for execution of the program described.

Total Quantity			
Quantity	SAR Baseline Production Estimate	Current APB Production	Current Estimate
RDT&E		3	3
Procurement		49	49
Total		52	52

## Cost and Funding

### Funding Summary

Appropriation Summary									
FY 2016 President's Budget / December 2014 SAR (TY\$ M)									
Appropriation	Prior	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	To Complete	Total
RDT&E	1601.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1601.2
Procurement	5166.6	317.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5484.1
MILCON	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PB 2016 Total	6773.1	317.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7090.6
PB 2015 Total	6807.4	331.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7138.9
Delta	-34.3	-14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-48.3

Quantity Summary										
FY 2016 President's Budget / December 2014 SAR (TY\$ M)										
Quantity	Undistributed	Prior	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	To Complete	Total
Development	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Production	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
PB 2016 Total	3	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
PB 2015 Total	3	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Cost and Funding

### Annual Funding By Appropriation

Annual Funding							
3600   RDT&E   Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force							
Fiscal Year	Quantity	TY \$M					
		End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
2000	--	--	--	--	--	--	16.3
2001	--	--	--	--	--	--	39.6
2002	--	--	--	--	--	--	83.7
2003	--	--	--	--	--	--	191.4
2004	--	--	--	--	--	--	260.2
2005	--	--	--	--	--	--	278.2
2006	--	--	--	--	--	--	222.9
2007	--	--	--	--	--	--	137.6
2008	--	--	--	--	--	--	161.6
2009	--	--	--	--	--	--	80.9
2010	--	--	--	--	--	--	62.4
2011	--	--	--	--	--	--	54.4
2012	--	--	--	--	--	--	12.0
Subtotal	3	--	--	--	--	--	1601.2

Annual Funding 3600   RDT&E   Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force							
Fiscal Year	Quantity	BY 2008 \$M					
		End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
2000	--	--	--	--	--	--	19.0
2001	--	--	--	--	--	--	45.6
2002	--	--	--	--	--	--	95.4
2003	--	--	--	--	--	--	215.2
2004	--	--	--	--	--	--	285.4
2005	--	--	--	--	--	--	297.5
2006	--	--	--	--	--	--	231.4
2007	--	--	--	--	--	--	139.2
2008	--	--	--	--	--	--	160.2
2009	--	--	--	--	--	--	79.2
2010	--	--	--	--	--	--	60.3
2011	--	--	--	--	--	--	51.6
2012	--	--	--	--	--	--	11.2
Subtotal	3	--	--	--	--	--	1691.2

Annual Funding								
3010   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Air Force								
Fiscal Year	Quantity	TY \$M						
		End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program	
2007	--	52.5	--	--	52.5	9.0	61.5	
2008	1	132.6	--	--	132.6	61.3	193.9	
2009	3	289.9	--	--	289.9	46.7	336.6	
2010	5	482.9	--	--	482.9	72.3	555.2	
2011	7	636.5	--	--	636.5	187.1	823.6	
2012	11	823.3	--	--	823.3	329.1	1152.4	
2013	11	1001.0	--	--	1001.0	145.6	1146.6	
2014	11	849.6	--	--	849.6	47.2	896.8	
2015	--	292.6	--	--	292.6	24.9	317.5	
Subtotal	49	4560.9	--	--	4560.9	923.2	5484.1	

Annual Funding								
3010   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Air Force								
Fiscal Year	Quantity	BY 2008 \$M						
		End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program	
2007	--	52.3	--	--	52.3	8.9	61.2	
2008	1	129.9	--	--	129.9	60.1	190.0	
2009	3	279.2	--	--	279.2	45.0	324.2	
2010	5	456.4	--	--	456.4	68.3	524.7	
2011	7	591.9	--	--	591.9	173.9	765.8	
2012	11	753.5	--	--	753.5	301.2	1054.7	
2013	11	895.7	--	--	895.7	130.3	1026.0	
2014	11	748.0	--	--	748.0	41.6	789.6	
2015	--	253.9	--	--	253.9	21.6	275.5	
Subtotal	49	4160.8	--	--	4160.8	850.9	5011.7	

Cost Quantity Information		
3010   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Air Force		
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway (Aligned With Quantity) BY 2008 \$M
2007	--	--
2008	1	176.2
2009	3	294.4
2010	5	468.6
2011	7	639.1
2012	11	913.4
2013	11	803.3
2014	11	865.8
2015	--	--
Subtotal	49	4160.8

Annual Funding 3300   MILCON   Military Construction, Air Force	
Fiscal Year	TY \$M
	Total Program
2010	5.3
Subtotal	5.3

Annual Funding 3300   MILCON   Military Construction, Air Force	
Fiscal Year	BY 2008 \$M
	Total Program
2010	5.0
Subtotal	5.0

## Low Rate Initial Production

Item	Initial LRIP Decision	Current Total LRIP
<b>Approval Date</b>	11/5/2001	3/25/2008
<b>Approved Quantity</b>	12	16
<b>Reference</b>	Milestone B ADM	Milestone C ADM
<b>Start Year</b>	2006	2007
<b>End Year</b>	2010	2012

The Current Total LRIP Quantity is more than 10% of the total production quantity due to the C-5 RERP Milestone C ADM approving an LRIP quantity of 16 systems as being necessary to maintain a steady ramp to Full Rate Production. The start year changed from the Initial LRIP Decision to the Current Total LRIP during the Nunn-McCurdy restructure.

The procurement tempo to deliver a C-5 RERP aircraft is a three-year process. The first year is advance procurement of material with longer than 12 months duration to buy and deliver, the second year involves material procurement and fabrication, while the third and final year is installation on the aircraft.

## **Foreign Military Sales**

None

## **Nuclear Costs**

None


## Unit Cost

### Unit Cost Report

Item	BY 2008 \$M	BY 2008 \$M	% Change
	Current UCR Baseline (Oct 2010 APB)	Current Estimate (Dec 2014 SAR)	
<b>Program Acquisition Unit Cost</b>			
Cost	7135.7	6707.9	
Quantity	52	52	
Item	137.225	128.998	-6.00
<b>Average Procurement Unit Cost</b>			
Cost	5396.3	5011.7	
Quantity	49	49	
Unit Cost	110.129	102.280	-7.13

Item	BY 2008 \$M	BY 2008 \$M	% Change
	Revised Original UCR Baseline (Jun 2008 APB)	Current Estimate (Dec 2014 SAR)	
<b>Program Acquisition Unit Cost</b>			
Cost	7146.6	6707.9	
Quantity	52	52	
Unit Cost	137.435	128.998	-6.14
<b>Average Procurement Unit Cost</b>			
Cost	5415.9	5011.7	
Quantity	49	49	
Unit Cost	110.529	102.280	-7.46

**Unit Cost History**



Item	Date	BY 2008 \$M		TY \$M	
		PAUC	APUC	PAUC	APUC
Original APB	Nov 2001	81.955	71.010	88.047	78.293
APB as of January 2006	Feb 2005	92.829	81.564	98.252	88.355
Revised Original APB	Jun 2008	137.435	110.529	147.963	123.308
Prior APB	Jun 2008	137.435	110.529	147.963	123.308
Current APB	Oct 2010	137.225	110.129	144.437	119.600
Prior Annual SAR	Dec 2013	129.475	102.786	137.287	112.906
Current Estimate	Dec 2014	128.998	102.280	136.358	111.920

**SAR Unit Cost History**

Initial SAR Baseline to Current SAR Baseline (TY \$M)									
Initial PAUC Development Estimate	Changes								PAUC Production Estimate
	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	
88.047	0.635	55.435	10.863	-1.056	-6.673	0.000	0.712	59.916	147.963

Current SAR Baseline to Current Estimate (TY \$M)									
PAUC Production Estimate	Changes								PAUC Current Estimate
	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	
147.963	-2.217	0.000	0.000	0.000	-6.769	0.000	-2.619	-11.605	136.358

Initial SAR Baseline to Current SAR Baseline (TY \$M)									
Initial APUC Development Estimate	Changes								APUC Production Estimate
	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	
78.293	0.640	32.062	7.029	0.000	-4.756	0.000	10.040	45.015	123.308

Current SAR Baseline to Current Estimate (TY \$M)									
APUC Production Estimate	Changes								APUC Current Estimate
	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	
123.308	-2.157	0.000	0.000	0.000	-6.451	0.000	-2.780	-11.388	111.920

SAR Baseline History				
Item	SAR Planning Estimate	SAR Development Estimate	SAR Production Estimate	Current Estimate
Milestone I	N/A	Feb 2000	Feb 2000	Feb 2000
Milestone B	N/A	Nov 2001	Nov 2001	Nov 2001
Milestone C	N/A	Dec 2006	Mar 2008	Mar 2008
IOC	N/A	Mar 2010	Jun 2013	Feb 2014
Total Cost (TY \$M)	N/A	11093.9	7694.1	7090.6
Total Quantity	N/A	126	52	52
PAUC	N/A	88.047	147.963	136.358

## Cost Variance

Summary TY \$M				
Item	RDT&E	Procurement	MILCON	Total
SAR Baseline (Production Estimate)	1643.5	6042.1	8.5	7694.1
Previous Changes				
Economic	-9.4	-90.6	-0.2	-100.2
Quantity	--	--	--	--
Schedule	--	--	--	--
Engineering	--	--	--	--
Estimating	-32.9	-280.5	-3.0	-316.4
Other	--	--	--	--
Support	--	-138.6	--	-138.6
Subtotal	-42.3	-509.7	-3.2	-555.2
Current Changes				
Economic	--	-15.1	--	-15.1
Quantity	--	--	--	--
Schedule	--	--	--	--
Engineering	--	--	--	--
Estimating	--	-35.6	--	-35.6
Other	--	--	--	--
Support	--	+2.4	--	+2.4
Subtotal	--	-48.3	--	-48.3
Total Changes	-42.3	-558.0	-3.2	-603.5
CE - Cost Variance	1601.2	5484.1	5.3	7090.6
CE - Cost & Funding	1601.2	5484.1	5.3	7090.6

Summary BY 2008 \$M				
Item	RDT&E	Procurement	MILCON	Total
SAR Baseline (Production Estimate)	1722.9	5415.9	7.8	7146.6
Previous Changes				
Economic	--	--	--	--
Quantity	--	--	--	--
Schedule	--	--	--	--
Engineering	--	--	--	--
Estimating	-31.7	-249.9	-2.8	-284.4
Other	--	--	--	--
Support	--	-129.5	--	-129.5
Subtotal	-31.7	-379.4	-2.8	-413.9
Current Changes				
Economic	--	--	--	--
Quantity	--	--	--	--
Schedule	--	--	--	--
Engineering	--	--	--	--
Estimating	--	-31.0	--	-31.0
Other	--	--	--	--
Support	--	+6.2	--	+6.2
Subtotal	--	-24.8	--	-24.8
Total Changes	-31.7	-404.2	-2.8	-438.7
CE - Cost Variance	1691.2	5011.7	5.0	6707.9
CE - Cost & Funding	1691.2	5011.7	5.0	6707.9

Previous Estimate: December 2013

Procurement	\$M	
Current Change Explanations	Base Year	Then Year
Revised escalation indices. (Economic)	N/A	-15.1
Revised estimate due to Above Threshold Reprogramming (ATR) realignment of funds. (Estimating)	+1.3	+1.5
Revised estimate due to Congressional reductions in FY 2014 and FY 2015. (Estimating)	-44.0	-50.0
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Estimating)	+11.7	+12.9
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Support)	+1.7	+2.2
Increase in Other Support for fuel and government furnished property. (Support)	+0.9	+0.9
Increase in Initial Spares due to ATR realignment of funds. (Support)	+3.6	-0.7
Procurement Subtotal	-24.8	-48.3

## Contracts

### General Notes

Requirements for EVM reporting on the C-5 RERP production contracts were removed in accordance with the FRP ADM dated October 7, 2010.

### Contract Identification

**Appropriation:** Procurement  
**Contract Name:** C-5 RERP LRIP Lot 4  
**Contractor:** Lockheed Martin  
**Contractor Location:** 86 South Cobb Drive  
 Marietta, GA 39963-0290  
**Contract Number:** FA8625-07-C-6471/4  
**Contract Type:** Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment (FPEPA)  
**Award Date:** December 21, 2009  
**Definitization Date:** December 21, 2009

Contract Price							
Initial Contract Price (\$M)			Current Contract Price (\$M)			Estimated Price At Completion (\$M)	
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
77.0	N/A	7	704.9	N/A	7	704.9	704.9

### Target Price Change Explanation

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to contract modification adding the following to Lot 4: Long lead, material/fabrication, installation, initial spares, rapid repair and response, readiness spares package, and support equipment.

### Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations

Cost and Schedule Variance reporting is not required on this (FPEPA) contract.

### Notes

This contract is more than 90% complete; therefore, this is the final report for this contract.

**Contract Identification**

**Appropriation:** Procurement  
**Contract Name:** C-5 RERP FRP Lot 5  
**Contractor:** Lockheed Martin  
**Contractor Location:** 86 South Cobb Drive  
 Marietta, GA 39963-0290  
**Contract Number:** FA8625-07-C-6471/5  
**Contract Type:** Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment (FPEPA)  
**Award Date:** October 20, 2010  
**Definitization Date:** October 20, 2010

Contract Price							
Initial Contract Price (\$M)			Current Contract Price (\$M)			Estimated Price At Completion (\$M)	
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
162.9	N/A	11	1068.5	N/A	11	1068.5	1068.5

**Target Price Change Explanation**

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to contract modification adding the following to Lot 5: Long lead, material/fabrication, installation, initial spares, readiness spares package, rapid repair and response, and support equipment.

**Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations**

Cost and Schedule Variance reporting is not required on this (FPEPA) contract.

**Contract Identification**

**Appropriation:** Procurement  
**Contract Name:** C-5 RERP FRP Lot 6  
**Contractor:** Lockheed Martin  
**Contractor Location:** 86 South Cobb Drive  
 Marietta, GA 39963-0290  
**Contract Number:** FA8625-07-C-6471/6  
**Contract Type:** Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment (FPEPA)  
**Award Date:** October 21, 2011  
**Definitization Date:** October 21, 2011

Contract Price							
Initial Contract Price (\$M)			Current Contract Price (\$M)			Estimated Price At Completion (\$M)	
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
160.0	N/A	11	1007.9	N/A	11	1007.9	1007.9

**Target Price Change Explanation**

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to contract modification adding the following to Lot 6: Long lead, material/fabrication, initial spares, readiness spares package, support equipment and installation.

**Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations**

Cost and Schedule Variance reporting is not required on this (FPEPA) contract.

**Contract Identification**

**Appropriation:** Procurement  
**Contract Name:** C-5 RERP FRP Lot 7  
**Contractor:** Lockheed Martin  
**Contractor Location:** 86 Cobb Drive  
 Marietta, GA 39963-0290  
**Contract Number:** FA8625-07-C-6471/7  
**Contract Type:** Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment (FPEPA)  
**Award Date:** October 19, 2012  
**Definitization Date:** October 19, 2012

Contract Price							
Initial Contract Price (\$M)			Current Contract Price (\$M)			Estimated Price At Completion (\$M)	
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
155.5	N/A	11	799.7	N/A	11	799.7	799.7

**Target Price Change Explanation**

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to contract modification adding the following to Lot 7: Long lead, termination liability relating to long lead, material/fabrication, initial spares, readiness spares and support equipment.

**Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations**

Cost and Schedule Variance reporting is not required on this (FPEPA) contract.

## Deliveries and Expenditures

Deliveries				
Delivered to Date	Planned to Date	Actual to Date	Total Quantity	Percent Delivered
Development	3	3	3	100.00%
Production	21	20	49	40.82%
Total Program Quantity Delivered	24	23	52	44.23%

Expended and Appropriated (TY \$M)			
Total Acquisition Cost	7090.6	Years Appropriated	16
Expended to Date	4244.8	Percent Years Appropriated	100.00%
Percent Expended	59.87%	Appropriated to Date	7090.6
Total Funding Years	16	Percent Appropriated	100.00%

The above data is current as of January 31, 2015.

The January aircraft delivery was delayed due to the landing gear failure SIB. Delivery date is TBD, pending the outcome of the investigation.

## Operating and Support Cost

### Cost Estimate Details

Date of Estimate:

Source of Estimate:

Quantity to Sustain:

Unit of Measure:

Service Life per Unit:

Fiscal Years in Service:

O&S costs are not tracked separately for C-5 RERP. O&S costs are included in the overall operational costs for the existing C-5 fleet managed by the program office at Robins Air Force Base.

### Sustainment Strategy

.

### Antecedent Information

No Antecedent

Annual O&S Costs BY2008 \$M			
Cost Element	C-5 RERP N/A	N/A (Antecedent) N/A	
Unit-Level Manpower	0.000		0.000
Unit Operations	0.000		0.000
Maintenance	0.000		0.000
Sustaining Support	0.000		0.000
Continuing System Improvements	0.000		0.000
Indirect Support	0.000		0.000
Other	0.000		0.000
Total	--		--

Item	Total O&S Cost \$M			
	C-5 RERP			N/A (Antecedent)
	Current Production APB Objective/Threshold	Current Estimate		
Base Year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Then Year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### O&S Cost Variance

Category	BY 2008 \$M	Change Explanations
Prior SAR Total O&S Estimates - Dec 2013 SAR	0.0	
Programmatic/Planning Factors	0.0	
Cost Estimating Methodology	0.0	
Cost Data Update	0.0	
Labor Rate	0.0	
Energy Rate	0.0	
Technical Input	0.0	
Other	0.0	
Total Changes	0.0	
Current Estimate	0.0	

### Disposal Estimate Details

Date of Estimate:

Source of Estimate:

Disposal/Demilitarization Total Cost (BY 2008 \$M):