(b) (1)

[redacted]

[redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

(b)(1)

[redacted]

[redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

[redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(U) Analysis of Techniques Employed

(U) As explained above, the chart, which provides a comprehensive picture of both approved and employed interrogation techniques at GTMO, helps to illuminate whether interrogation policy at GTMO was adequately followed. The discussion below provides details on the employment of the individual techniques, with particular focus on any

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 346

potential problem areas where an X marking appears in either a yellow, orange or red block in the chart.

(U) FM 34-52 Techniques: (1) Direct through (20) Mutt and Jeff

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(U) *Incentive*

(b)(1)

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

[redacted]

[redacted]

(S)

[redacted]

(S)

[redacted]

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 348

(b)(1)

[redacted]

(U) As demonstrated by the chart, current interrogation policy, which went into effect on April 16, 2003, requires that the Secretary receive advance notice before incentive (and removal of incentive) may be used as interrogation techniques. This condition was fulfilled by a June 2, 2003, letter from GEN Hill to the Secretary of Defense stating, "the [Walker] Working Group was most concerned about removing the Koran from detainees. We no longer do this. Providing incentives (*e.g.* McDonald's Fish Sandwiches) remains an integral part of interrogations. My intent is to provide you notice when the proposed incentive would exceed that outlined by interrogation doctrine detailed in Army Field Manual 34-52 (which implements Geneva Convention standards), or when interrogators intend to remove an incentive from a detainee." GEN Hill also stated his intent in a June 2, 2003, memorandum to MG Miller. We found no evidence that any exceptional incentive techniques were requested or employed.

(U) *Pride and Ego Down*

~~(S)~~ [redacted]

(b)(1)

(U) *Change of Scene, and Change of Scene Up and Down*

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

~~(S)~~ [redacted]

~~(S)~~ [redacted]

(b)(1)

[redacted]

(b)(1)

[redacted]

(U) *Mutt and Jeff*

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(U) December 2, 2002 Counter Resistance Techniques: (21) Yelling to (37) Mild Contact

(U) *Category I: Yelling, Deception, Multiple Interrogators and Interrogator Identity*

(S) [redacted]

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
COPY NUMBER ONE

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 350

(b) (1)

[redacted]

(b) (1)

[redacted]

(U) Category II: Stress Positions through Presence of Military Working Dog

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

[redacted]

[redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(S) [redacted]

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 352

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

[redacted]

[redacted]

(S) [redacted]

(U) April 16, 2003 Techniques: (38) Sleep Adjustment to (40) Environmental Manipulation

(S) [redacted]

(U) *Category III: Mild, Non-injurious Physical Contact*

(S) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

(S)

(S)

(S)

(S)

(S)

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 354

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

(b) (1)

(b) (1)

(S)

(S)

(U) Notably, on April 22, 2003, this technique was employed in an unauthorized and inappropriately aggressive manner, when an interrogator directed MPs to facilitate bringing [redacted] from standing to a prone position, and the detainee suffered superficial bruising to his knees. As a result, the interrogator involved was issued a letter of reprimand. Furthermore, this abuse was compounded by the fact that the Secretary did not receive advance notice prior to the employment of this technique on April 22, 2003, even though the April 16, 2003 policy requires such advance notice whenever techniques